

### A Understanding Knowledge Issue(s) (10 points)

**Does the essay demonstrate understanding of knowledge issues that are relevant to the prescribed title, and an awareness of the connections between knowledge issues, areas of knowledge and ways of knowing?**

*A relevant knowledge issue is one that directly relates to the prescribed title undertaken, or one the essay has shown is important in relation to it. **Depth of understanding** is often indicated by drawing distinctions with in ways of knowing and the areas of knowledge, or by connecting several facets of knowledge issues to these. **Breadth of understanding** is often indicated by making comparisons between ways of knowing and areas of knowledge. Since not all prescribed titles lend themselves to an extensive treatment of an equal range of areas of knowledge or ways of knowing, this element in the descriptors should be applied with concern for the particularity of the title. Score using whole numbers only.*

- 0 Level 1 is not achieved.
- 1-2 The essay includes very little treatment of knowledge issues that are relevant to the prescribed title and demonstrates little understanding of them. If present, areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing are merely mentioned.
- 3-4 The essay includes some treatment of knowledge issues that are relevant to the prescribed title and demonstrates a rudimentary understanding of them. Some links to areas of knowledge and or ways of knowing have been attempted but are largely ineffective.
- 5-6 For the most part the essay treats the knowledge issues that are relevant to the prescribed title, and demonstrates some understanding of them. Some effective links are drawn between areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing.
- 7-8 The essay consistently maintains as its focus knowledge issues that are relevant to the prescribed title. Effective links and some comparisons between areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing are drawn, so that the essay demonstrates good understanding of the knowledge issues under consideration.
- 9-10 The essay consistently maintains as its focus knowledge issues that are relevant to the prescribed title. Effective links and comparisons between areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing are elaborated, so that the essay demonstrates a sophisticated understanding of the knowledge issues under consideration.

### B Knower's Perspective (10 points)

**To what extent have the knowledge issues relevant to the prescribed title been connected to the student's own experience as a learner? Does the student show an awareness of his/her own perspective as a knower in relation to other perspectives, such as those that may arise, for example, from academic and philosophical traditions, culture or position in society (gender, age, and so on)? Do examples chosen show an individual approach consciously taken by the student, rather than mere repetition of standard commonplace cases or the impersonal recounting of sources?**

- 0 Level 1 is not achieved.
- 1-2 The essay shows no evidence of independent thinking about the knowledge issues related to the prescribed title. There is limited personal engagement with the knowledge issues and no attempt to acknowledge or explore different perspectives. There are no appropriate examples.
- 3-4 The essay shows very little evidence of independent thinking about the knowledge issues related to the prescribed title. There is some personal engagement with the knowledge issues. Different perspectives may be mentioned but there is no attempt to explore them. Examples chosen are sometimes appropriate.
- 5-6 The essay shows some evidence of independent thinking about the knowledge issues related to the prescribed title. The student has shaped the essay in a way that shows personal engagement with the knowledge issues. There is an awareness that different perspectives may exist, although there may be little attempt to explore these. Examples chosen are appropriate, although there may be little variety in their sources.
- 7-8 The essay shows adequate evidence of independent thinking about the knowledge issues related to the prescribed title. The student has shaped the essay in a way that shows thoughtful, personal engagement with the knowledge issues and some self-awareness as a knower. There is an acknowledgement of different perspectives and some attempt to explore these. Examples chosen are effective, with some variety.
- 9-10 The essay shows much evidence of independent thinking about the knowledge issues related to the prescribed title. The student has shaped the essay in a way that shows both a personal, reflective exploration of the knowledge issues and significant self-awareness as a knower. There is serious consideration of different perspectives. Examples chosen are varied and effectively used.

### C Quality of Analysis of Knowledge Issues (10 points)

**What is the quality of the inquiry into knowledge issues? Are the main points in the essay justified? Are the arguments coherent and compelling? Have counterclaims been considered? Are the implications and the underlying assumptions of the essay's argument identified?**

*This criterion is considered only with knowledge issues that are relevant to the prescribed title. Analysis of knowledge issues that are not relevant to the prescribed title are not assessed.*

Achievement Level. The candidate demonstrates:

- 0 Level 1 is not achieved.
- 1-2 There is no inquiry into knowledge issues, only description. There are very few attempts at justifying the main points of the essay. There is very little evidence of any awareness of counterclaims.
- 3-4 The inquiry partly explores, but largely describes, knowledge issues. There is some justification of main points and some coherent argument. Counterclaims are implicitly identified.
- 5-6 The inquiry explores knowledge issues. Most points are justified; most arguments are coherent. Some counterclaims are considered.
- 7-8 The inquiry explores with some insight, in some depth and/or detail, knowledge issues. All or nearly all, main points are justified and arguments are coherent. Counterclaims are explored. Implications of the essay's argument are identified.
- 9-10 The inquiry explores with a high degree of insight, in considerable depth and/or detail, knowledge issues. All main points are justified and arguments are coherent and compelling. Counterclaims are explored and evaluated. Implications and underlying assumptions of the essay's argument are identified.

### D Organization of Ideas (10 points)

**Is the essay well organized and relevant to the prescribed title? Does the use of language assist the reader's understanding and avoid confusion? Are central terms explained or developed clearly in a way that assists comprehension? When factual information is used or presented, it is accurate and, when necessary, referenced? "Factual information" includes generalizations.**

**If sources have been used, have they been properly referenced in a way that allows them to be traced (Internet references must include the date on which they were accessed)?** Note: Not all essays require sources or references. This task is not a test of "first language" linguistic skills. No account should be taken of minor errors unless they significantly impede communication.

*An essay that fails to meet the word limit of 1,200-1,600 words will not score above level 4 on this criterion. An essay that has no relevance to the prescribed title will score 0 on this criterion.*

- 0 Level 1 is not achieved
- 1-2 The essay on the prescribed title is very poorly structured, with little overall organization. It is difficult to understand what the writer intends. Factual information used to support arguments may contain significant inaccuracies. Sources of information and ideas may not be acknowledged and there is no attempt at referencing.
- 3-4 The essay on the prescribed title is poorly structured, with limited overall organization. It is sometimes difficult to understand what the writer intends. There may be some attempt to explain or explore the meaning of terms but that contributes little to conceptual clarity. Factual information used to support arguments is not always reliable (there may be minor inaccuracies; sources of more important information may be missing or unreliable). Some sources of information and ideas are acknowledged but it is not complete, nor sufficiently precise to permit tracing of sources.
- 5-6 The essay on the prescribed title is satisfactorily structured, with adequate overall organization. In general, concepts are used clearly: if concepts are explained, explanations are generally adequate. Factual information used to support arguments is mostly correct. Most sources of information and ideas are acknowledged; most referencing permits tracing of sources, although some precision may be lacking. The word limit has been met.
- 7-8 The essay on the prescribed title is well structured, with a clear overall organization. Concepts are used or developed clearly: some explanations are included, where appropriate. Factual information used to support arguments is correct. Sources of information and ideas are acknowledged; most referencing permits tracing of sources. The word limit has been met.
- 9-10 The essay on the prescribed title is very well structured, with an effective overall organization. Concepts are used clearly and, where appropriate, refined by helpful explanations. Factual information used to support arguments is correct. Sources of information and ideas are acknowledged; all referencing permits tracing of sources. The word limit has been met.

Name of Reviewer\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Paper Reviewed (person)\_\_\_\_\_

Title\_\_\_\_\_

Is the Title (given prescribed title) the first thing on the paper?\_\_\_\_\_

Is there a word count?\_\_\_\_\_

Section A - Score\_\_\_\_\_  
Why?

Section B - Score\_\_\_\_\_  
Why?

Section C - Score\_\_\_\_\_  
Why?

Section D - Score\_\_\_\_\_  
Why?

Any General Recommendations?

Return this sheet to Mr. Dean - you will verbally discuss it with the persons paper you are reviewing!